1	tive relief.				
2	Jurisdiction and Venue				
3	2.	Jurisdiction over this action is premised upon 15 U.S.C. § 1681p and			
4		28 U.S.C. § 1367.			
5	3.	Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), and in that			
6	the Defendant's conduct complained of occurred in the District.				
7		Parties			
8	4.	Plaintiff is an individual who at all times relevant resided in Maricopa			
9		County, Arizona.			
10	5.	Defendant Diversified Consultants, Inc. ("DCI") is a national collection			
11		agency licensed to conduct business within the state of Arizona.			
12	Factual Allegations				
13	The Impermissible Access				
14	6.	On February 2, 2015, DCI accessed or obtained a consumer report			
15		(hereinafter "credit report" or "consumer report") on Ms. Jackson from			
16		Trans Union, a national consumer reporting agency.			
17	7.	DCI's stated purpose for requesting the credit report was "account			
18		review."			
19	8.	Less than two weeks later, DCI sent Plaintiff a collection letter on			
20		behalf of Sprint.			
21	9.	Plaintiff had previously filed Chapter 7 bankruptcy on July 1, 2014.			
22	10.	DCI and Sprint were both notified by the Bankruptcy court of Plain-			
23		tiff's filing on or about July 3, 2014.			
24	11.	The account DCI was attempting to collect on behalf of Sprint was			
25		included in Plaintiff's Chapter 7 bankruptcy.			

12. Plaintiff received a discharge of her debts on October 16, 2014. 1 The bankruptcy court sent notice of Plaintiff's discharge to both DCI 2 13. and Sprint on October 16, 2014. 3 DCI did not have a permissible purpose for making the credit report 4 14. 5 inquiry on Plaintiff on February 2, 2015. At the time DCI made its inquiry, DCI knew that the account it was 15. 6 7 attempting to collect from Plaintiff had been included and discharged 8 in Ms. Jackson's Chapter 7 bankruptcy. 9 16. At the time DCI made its inquiry, DCI knew that its stated purpose for obtaining Ms. Jackson credit report, that of "Account Review," was 10 11 false. 17. At the time DCI made its inquiry, DCI knew that it did not have a 12 13 permissible purpose to access Ms. Jackson's credit report. 18. Upon information and belief, at the time DCI obtained Plaintiff's credit 14 15 report on February 2, 2015, DCI had a policy of regularly ignoring the bankruptcy discharge of its customers and regularly obtained credit 16 reports under the guise of an "Account Review." 17 Other Impermissible Accesses 18 19 19. Upon information and belief, DCI accessed Ms. Jackson's consumer report one or more times between January 6, 2014 and January 6, 2016 20 21 without a permissible purpose. Count I. Violation of FCRA 22 Negligently Obtaining Consumer Report Without Permissible Purpose 23 24 20. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs. 21. 25 DCI acted negligently in requesting and obtaining Ms. Jackson's credit - 3 -

1		reports without a permissible purpose.			
2	22.	DCI's conduct in obtaining Ms. Jackson's credit reports without a			
3		permissible purpose therefore violated 15 U.S.C. §1681b(f).			
4	23.	As a result of DCI's violation of the FCRA, Ms. Jackson has suffered			
5		an invasion privacy, and other actual damages.			
6	WHEREFORE, the plaintiff requests that this Court enter judgment				
7	her favor and against defendant DCI as follows:				
8		a. pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1), award her actual damages,			
9		for each impermissible access of her credit report;			
10		b. pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681 $o(a)(2)$, award costs of the action			
11		and reasonable attorney fees; and			
12		c. grant such other and further relief as the court deems just and			
13	proper.				
14		Count II. Violation of FCRA			
15	Willfully Obtaining Consumer Report Without Permissible Purpose				
16	24.	Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs.			
17	25.	DCI acted willfully in requesting and obtaining Ms. Jackson's credit			
18		reports without a permissible purpose.			
19	26.	DCI's conduct in willfully obtaining Ms. Jackson's credit reports			
20		without a permissible purpose therefore violated 15 U.S.C. §1681b(f).			
21	27.	As a result of DCI's violation of the FCRA, Ms. Jackson has suffered			
22		an invasion privacy, and other actual damages.			
23		WHEREFORE, the plaintiff requests that this Court enter judgment in			
24	her favor and against defendant DCI as follows:				
25		a. pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A), award her actual dam-			

1		ages, or not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000 for each				
2		impermissible access of her credit report, whichever is greater;				
3	b.	pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2), award such punitive dam-				
4		ages as the Court deems appropriate;				
5	c.	pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3), award costs of the action				
6		and reasonable attorney fees; and				
7	d.	grant such other and further relief as the court deems just and				
8		proper.				
9	Demand for Jury Trial					
10	Plain	aintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.				
11						
12	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: <u>January 6, 2016</u> .					
13						
14		s/ Floyd W. Bybee Floyd W. Bybee, #012651				
15		BYBEE LAW CENTER, PLC 90 S. Kyrene Rd., Ste. 5				
16		Chandler, AZ 85226-4687 Office: (480) 756-8822				
17		Fax: (480) 302-4186 floyd@bybeelaw.com				
18		Todd D. Simpson, SB 031251				
19		LARSON & SIMPSON, PLC 90 S. Kyrene Rd, Ste. 5				
20		Chandler, AZ 85226-4687 Phone: (602) 730-2875				
21		Fax: (866) 359-3374 todd@larsonandsimpson.com				
22		Attorneys for Plaintiff				
23		7 morneys for 1 familia				
24						
25						